PTC has announced the launch of Creo 7, the latest release of its flagship product design and engineering system. This new version sees a major focus on simulation, in both its traditional and GPU-driven, real-time forms, as well as on computational fluid dynamics (CFD) studies.

Readers may remember that Creo 6 saw the introduction of Creo Simulation Live, based on Ansys' real-time simulation technology. Creo 7 builds on this, adding fluid flow to the module, along with greater integration with behavioural modelling. This means that parametric design studies and optimisation runs can be conducted in far shorter timeframes than were previously possible.

Alongside real-time simulation, Creo 7 also sees the introduction of a new module that integrates Ansys' Workbench technology directly into the Creo interface, to support more traditional forms of structural stress analysis.

In addition, this latest version also marks the first time that PTC has integrated technology acquired in its 2018 purchase of Frustum. This provides the basis for the first generation of Creo's integrated generative design capabilities.

More big news for this release comes in the form of multibody modelling, added to Creo for the first time. This will allow users more flexibility when modelling complex forms and adds new tools required to fully support the generative design and optimisation capabilities added in this release.

Visualisation also gets a look-in, with an update to the Creo Visualize module. This is based on Luxion's KeyShot, directly integrated into the Creo user interface.

Reaction from long-term Creo customers given early access to the release has been positive.

“Creo has helped us revolutionise the way we develop, produce, and maintain our motorcycles,” said Adrian Marshall, manager of computer-aided design in product development at motorcycle manufacturer Royal Enfield, the cover star of our April 2020 issue.

“We are sure that Creo will continue to benefit our design process and enable us to deliver the best possible customer ownership experience,” he continued.

While press announcements surrounding Creo 7 have made much of the addition of artificial intelligence (AI) capabilities, further investigation reveals that these will not be available at launch, but incorporated into an update later in this release cycle.

PTC looks to core modelling fundamentals to enable greater mixing of geometric forms alongside a cohesive set of simulation tools that share the same data backbone.

PTC LAUNCHES CREO 7 WITH MULTIBODY MODELLING, GENERATIVE DESIGN AND MORE

PTC looks to core modelling fundamentals to enable greater mixing of geometric forms alongside a cohesive set of simulation tools that share the same data backbone.
PTC Creo 7

With Creo 7, PTC demonstrates its skills at adding compelling new features and functions to an already robust toolset and making smart partnerships to extend its capabilities even further. Al Dean takes a look on what’s on offer in this release.

If you’ve been involved with 3D design and engineering software at any point in the last twenty years, you’ll probably know that PTC is the company that kicked off the whole mainstream market for parametric 3D.

PTC took high-cost, specialist software and made it easier to use. It introduced the idea that we should be able to parametrically edit our models and incorporate our engineering knowledge into our engineering data, as it was built.

Since it launched Pro/Engineer, the company’s toolset has evolved. At one stage, it looked like PTC’s best years might be behind it, thanks to an explosion of lower cost systems such as Solidworks, Inventor et al. But PTC rallied, launching a rebranded set of tools as Creo back in 2010.

Creo brought together the core of Pro/Engineer with some smart tools from CoCreate (namely, direct modelling). It offered a redesigned user experience and an openness to third-party tools that could supplement its own internal technologies.

Fast-forward a decade and we now have Creo 7 – a system that amply demonstrates how a company can continue to mature a robust toolset and make smart partnerships (with companies such as Ansys and Luxion) rather than attempting to reinvent the wheel.

That work has paid off. Creo is a system that is experiencing a rebirth. We’ve seen users from among our own readership either readopt it or use it to replace mainstream offerings that they have outgrown. In many cases, it’s proven more suited to their current work, due to product complexity, organisational complexity, or a combination of the two.

Long-term Creo stalwarts, meanwhile, remain committed to the system and are enthusiastically adopting its newer offerings. So shall we dive in and take a look at what PTC is now providing in Creo 7?

**MULTIBODY MODELLING**

The first area of Creo I want to cover is not a flashy new optimisation tool, nor an additive manufacturing-related update, nor some new cloud offering. Instead, it’s the system’s multibody capabilities.

If you’ve ever used Creo (or before that, Pro/Engineer), you’ll know it has never previously supported multibody modelling techniques. Multibody is not a new approach. It’s as old as the hills. Some newer systems, in fact, rely on it: Fusion 360 and Onshape spring to mind.

But in case you’re not familiar with the technology and technique, the term ‘multibody modelling’ describes the ability to have more than one solid body contained in a single ‘part’ file.

This isn’t a question of part versus assembly. Instead, it’s about explicit and individual chunks of geometry, embodied in a single part.

If you can achieve this, then it’s then possible to do some very interesting things. You can, for example, use a master model approach to build the exterior A surfaces of a product in one lump.

Then, when the time comes, you can split them out into separate part files for further engineering.

Given the potential value here, non-Creo users might now be wondering why it has taken so long for PTC to incorporate these
types of tools in Creo. The reality is that users could previously achieve 70% of the results they needed with workarounds and fudges using surfaces and other techniques – but the extra 30% that Creo 7 now gives users is really worth the wait.

Naturally, Creo’s multibody modelling tools do all of the things you’d expect. You can start with your first feature as per normal, then create a second, and Creo enables you to define whether new features are modifying the existing body or creating a new one.

If you then have multiple bodies, you can define whether subsequent operations apply to them all, or just select bodies as you go. As build-up operations, each body is managed in the feature tree in terms of visibility.

While this is by no means uncharted territory for CAD users, it’s impressive that these capabilities have been so smoothly introduced into a system after nearly 30 years of development – and PTC has gone the extra mile to make its approach really unique, in several respects.

The first is that any body can be defined as a ‘construction’ body. Construction bodies are excluded from mass property calculations and BOM information on drawings and the user can choose whether to export the construction body or not when exporting to other formats.

To my mind, every vendor that uses a multibody approach should immediately steal this idea, as it’s brilliant and solves one of the big headaches of multibody modelling.

Another is that different bodies can be assigned with different material definitions. Not only can you visualise them more easily (which in itself is not particularly unique), but you can also achieve more accurate mass calculations. This is going to be incredibly useful for those working with master model approaches and for those developing multi-material parts. (I’m thinking here of over-moulded or two-shot moulded parts and inserts, rather than additively manufactured multi-material parts.)

The benefits will also be felt when you then follow up with documenting individual ‘parts’ in BOMs and drawings (where hatching differentiates separate bodies).

Of course, a core update to underlying architecture like this is going to show up in a bunch of other updates to a 3D modelling system, so let’s now have a look at what else Creo 7 has to offer users.

SIMULATION: QUICK & DIRTY VERSUS OLD-SCHOOL

PTC has a long track record of providing simulation tools inside of Creo and its predecessors. Long-term users might recall Pro/Mechanica, which was ahead of the CAD-integrated simulation trend by a substantial distance, while newer Creo users will know that Creo/Simulate has been an offering for a while now.

Alongside its home-brewed simulation tools, PTC has also partnered in recent releases with Ansys, to provide a more modern set of tools based on Ansys’s Discovery Live (ADL) simulation technology. This, if you’ve not come across it before, is a simulation solver that takes advantage of some new methods, along with today’s ultra-efficient GPU computation capabilities, to deliver simulation results faster than we’ve ever seen before. In other words, it might not be exactly ‘real-time’, but it’s pretty damned close.

With ADL, Ansys covers not only static stress, but also internal and external fluid flow, as well as static and transient thermal studies. The Creo-integrated version of ADL, first seen in Creo 6, saw PTC integrate the static stress, steady-state thermal and modal portions of ADL with the Creo interface and workflow.

This has now been enhanced for the Creo 7 release. In the first instance, you now have transient thermal simulation, which simulates the thermal performance of a product over a defined period of time, rather than at a specific moment.

You can apply your starting initial temperature and gauge performance as time progresses, and handily, you can toggle between steady-state and transient thermal simulation at any time.

This release also brings both internal and external fluid flow simulation from Discovery Live into the Creo interface. The set-up and solve is exactly as you would expect. You take your assembly and work through the process, with the results streaming into the interface. Compared to traditional CFD work, these types of tools strip hours out of the workflow. Again, the results are live, so the resultant flow characteristics are available as soon as you finish a design edit.

Another update for Creo Simulate Live is that there’s been a lot of work done to integrate this ultra-efficient, modern simulation technology with a technology set that’s been part of the Creo playbook – and its predecessors – for two decades now.
The Behavioural Modelling Extension (or BMx for short) is a set of tools that allow you to take a parametric model and use it as the basis for experimentation. We’re not talking about topology optimisation here. Instead, we’re referring to a much cleaner and more predictable process, which uses a number of different methods to vary parameters to reach an optimised state and meet a required goal.

That process might be an optimisation, where you vary parameters within specified limits in order to achieve a target, such as minimised mass; or it might be a feasibility study, where you vary parameters to achieve a particular property. That property, meanwhile, could be a specific interior volume (in CPG packaging, for example, or fuel delivery in automotive) or an optimum centre of gravity for product stability.

As many will know, BMx has been available for decades, so why bring it up now? The answer is that PTC has worked with Ansys to build a connection between Creo Simulate Live and BMx.

That’s important, because traditionally, BMx has focused on the power of parametric modelling to achieve a design goal that’s readily measurable from your CAD model (such as centre of gravity, mass, volume and so on) - and that’s very useful. But with this new integration, you can extend this to use the output from Creo Simulate Live as the basis for experimentation, factoring in stress, deformation, maximum temperature, heat flux, velocity and pressure.

This is achieved by placing a probe and an associated measurement in the study, which can then be used to define its goals.

It’s worth noting here that Creo Simulation Live is being sold as a complete solution, so there’s no splitting out of structural, thermal, fluid flow studies and so on. The BMx tools are a prerequisite, of course, and if you’re not using those already (attachment rates are around 25%), it’s an additional cost.

### SIMULATION FOR GROWN-UPS

Alongside the Creo Simulation Live updates, traditional simulation capability has also been a focus for this release.

Here, we see PTC start to move away from its own Pro/Mechanica technology, in favour of delivering a set of CAD-integrated tools based on Ansys’s platform. This follows the same pattern seen with the Creo Simulation Live toolset; in other words, it’s based on using that platform directly within Creo, rather than a connection to Ansys Workbench.

The new module, Creo Ansys Simulation, offers static structural, thermal and modal simulation capabilities. It handles meshing (using Ansys’ meshing tools) and contact simulation tools for validation of parts.

As you may have guessed, it’s all leading to a discussion of ‘the G word’: Generative. Regular PTC watchers will recall that the in late 2018, the company acquired generative design start-up Frustum. Its main focus was the all-too-familiar problem of using topology optimisation to solve engineering challenges, but ending up instead with a mess of mesh-based geometry.

Frustum’s proposed solution was to allow the user to define a study based on required geometry, keep-out zones and so on, add multiple load cases, and then have the system calculate an optimised form according to the shape controls applied. It would then return a nicely smoothed-out form that could be used to progress further. It also had a prototype geometry engine that took in new field-driven methods of geometry design for better handling of lattices and more.

This acquired technology has now started to appear inside Creo. In Creo 7.0, it follows a familiar workflow. First, you define your optimisation domain in terms of geometry for a starting shape, forms that need to be retained and those to be avoided. You’ll also need to add in constraints and loading conditions, both in terms of forces, pressures and torques, as well as manufacturing-related shape controls.

This is all pretty standard for topology optimisation. What happens next, however, is absolutely not.

Frustum’s technology is highly suited to computation on the GPU and takes advantage of the GPU; and the enhancements around more traditional simulation tools for validation of parts.
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advantage of those processing resources to achieve something pretty spectacular. If you've got a suitable Nvidia GPU in your workstation (a modern Quadro card supporting CUDA 3.5), you'll see the optimisation start to resolve in real-time in the Creo window. (If you don't have an suitable card available, it will use the CPU, but will be significantly slower.)

You're not relying on the cloud, nor tying up your workstation's processor(s) for hours on end. It all happens in real time. As you make edits to any of the conditions – for example, loads/constraints or geometry factors – you'll see the results start to update in real time, too.

Once you have the result you want and everything has converged, you can use a faceted model of the results, or more usefully, you can create a smoothed-out CAD model with a network of surfaces that can be used in downstream modelling.

Of course, there are several caveats here. Results can be highly organic and your production processes might prefer something more prismatic, or you might prefer to use the results as the basis for more traditional modelling. That organic nature might also cause issues during meshing using more traditional simulation tools.

**HOLISTIC WORKFLOW**

Here's perhaps the most impressive aspect of all of this: everything is built on a common data platform - and that means everything you define can be reused in subsequent operations and workflows.

For example, if you start with generative design boundary conditions, the boundary condition already added can be used directly in Creo Simulation live and Creo Ansys Simulation for running further simulation and validation studies. The potential for some really interesting workflows to emerge from this set of tools is huge.

However, template-driven design - where an old master defines the rules and new staff create variants - comes with its own challenges. Perhaps the biggest of these is error propagation; if you get loading conditions wrong (or just poorly defined) at an early stage, those errors will quickly creep into the rest of the workflow, resulting in a poorly designed/optimised part.

**TRUE GENERATIVE DESIGN IS COMING**

The majority of tools we've covered so far are coming in the first release of Creo 7, but several are billed to appear further down the line, in subsequent updates.

One of these is the traditional Creo Ansys Simulation module, billed for Creo 7.0.2 (due some time in around October 2020) Another is an extension of the generative design tools. While the tools we've discussed so far fall fairly and squarely into the 'enhanced topology optimisation' category, this newer set of tools will definitely take PTC into the realm of actual generative design.

The difference between topology optimisation and generative design is that the former focuses on reaching a single, optimum design. The latter focuses on giving you options - or optima, if you will. Give generative design technology a set of boundary conditions, load cases, material options and manufacturing-related geometry control, and it will create a set of results that solve the engineering challenge, enabling you to evaluate, inspect, reject where appropriate and thus progress.

Partway through the Creo release, PTC is looking to introduce a cloud-based offering that does all of this. The claim is that it'll allow you more freedom to explore a design challenge. As with other vendors making similar promises (Autodesk being the prime example), this is going to be a chargeable offering, but no further details are currently available.

The front end, as it stands, looks in line with what you'd expect, including graphing and charting tools that are vital to filtering down larger datasets. On the subject of larger datasets, one of the issues with this type of work is that once you start to put together larger experimental sets, the data you need to compute, then filter through, grows massively.

Given this, it's no surprise that PTC is already talking about the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in its marketing messaging around generative design, proposing that AI will filter out those studies that aren't needed or won't work.

According to PTC, “The cloud will eventually leverage AI in the form of genetic algorithms and machine learning to identify which possible solutions to calculate and then further iterate. This will help to reduce the huge number of potential solutions being calculated to a more manageable number.”

**VISUALISATION & AR**

Visualisation and collaboration are two more areas of enhancement and focus for Creo 7 that I want to cover here.

On the visualisation front, recent Creo releases have seen a number of additions for both traditional visualisation and augmented reality (AR). Dealing with traditional visualisation first, Creo Render Studio was introduced in Creo 5 and is built...
on Luxion's rendering technology, as seen in its Keyshot product. This enables Creo users to very quickly get an idea, directly in the Creo environment (and how their product will look, with physically based materials and realistic lighting conditions applied.

For this latest release, users can now customise the floor position in the Creo window, so that shadows and reflections can be adjusted. Plus, if you’re using Creo Manikin, which gives your model human factors (with a full range of anthropometric data), you can now use the ‘vision window’ to render out what the manikin sees using Render Studio. This saves you having to fake it with a camera or other workarounds.

As we’ve already discussed GPU use, it’s worth noting that current plans are for Creo 7 to gain GPU support in Creo Render Studio in the 7.0.2 release around October.

Lastly on the viz front and looking at augmented reality, PTC introduced the AR Design Share tools some time ago and have updated these pretty consistently over each release. The most recent updates move away from a printed ‘ThingMark’ target, to an automatic location technology, which identifies a flat surface on which to place your AR object.

From Creo 7 onwards, the user will be able to publish combination states as part of an AR experience. If you’re not familiar with Combination States, these are adapted views of your product model that could feature an exploded view, simplified representations, cross sections, zone clippings and the like, to help communicate your data more effectively.

**MACHINING AND ADDITIVE**

For almost all of its life, Creo has been a manufacturing-focused system. That means there’s a substantial set of users out there who have been using this set of tools for decades and are sticking with it, for 2.5-axis through to 3-axis work, high-speed machining and into the realms of 5-axis work. But that’s not to say that there isn’t room for some smart thinking every now and again. For example, Creo 7 brings greater support for complex multi-spindle swiss-type lathes.

Of course, the manufacturing world has also grown in recent years to include additive manufacturing. While Creo has had a few updates that focus on this, the majority of tools are centred on the creation of lattice structures - and while these aren’t restricted to being additively manufactured, chances are that they will be in future, particularly as you move down in scale.

Creo 6 got a good chunk of lattice design tools built into it, so Creo 7 really just expands that a little to include stochastic lattices that follow the edges of the body you are filling and you now support for Delaunay lattices). You can now add curves and surfaces to your own custom lattice cells and there’s also an API-level integration to Additive Works’ Amyphon system for powder-bed fusion build simulation - this is the first example of PTC getting a third party to integrate directly inside the Creo additive workflow tools.

**ADDITIONAL UPDATES**

To round things out, let’s take a look at some smaller updates in Creo 7. These might not get much attention, but are just as important to users who go deep into particular areas of the system.

A prime example is work done on the sketcher, one of the most frequently used areas of any 3D modelling system. While the functionality available in Creo’s sketcher has not changed a great deal (apart from the ability to mirror across any linear geometry), the amount of visual feedback you get has really come on. This shows you relationships between selected parts much more clearly. Select a line or arc, and it’ll show you the constraints that apply to it and any inter-geometry relationships, such as parallelism and perpendicularities.

Another interesting update is in the Freestyle toolset. This is Creo’s take on sub-divisional modelling; introduced quite a while back, it’s seen fairly widespread adoption. A Creo 7 update to Freestyle relates to aligning surfaces with other geometry. In addition to existing options for positional, tangency and curvature control, you can now align a surface to another edge or curve using a specified angle of draft. For those working with complex moulded parts or perhaps complex castings, this is going to prove very useful indeed in terms of cutting down on workarounds.

Other updates worth highlighting are the ability to more easily edit draft angles on imported geometry. Previously, this was a pretty convoluted workaround that required additional geometry to be built. Now, you can use the existing draft tools, select your reference geometry and make your edits.

The operation respects any associated and connected geometry, such as fillets, where possible. The final update we’re going to cover is in the area of model-based design (MBD). This is a growing trend, particularly at organisations working in automotive, aerospace and defence. Wherever there’s a need to fully document a part according to its manufacturing and inspection requirements, drawings are not always the ideal method to convey information.

Creo’s MBD toolset is well advanced, but Creo 7 brings support for updated standards (ISO 1101:2017 and Y14.2-2018) and new leader types for tangency, as well as a syntax checker that will not only ensure you’re able to conform to those standards, but also help ensure they are used correctly.

**IN CONCLUSION**

This, if I’m honest, is one of the most impressive releases of a 3D design and engineering system that I’ve seen in a good few years. There’s a solid mix here of brand-new functionality, integrated with existing technologies, and some-bold thinking around revisiting core concepts in complex product design.

The addition of multibody modelling to a system with a three-decade history may confuse a few folks, but its implementation here looks pretty damned good. The tools are well thought out and introduce some really novel concepts. The idea of construction bodies, in particular, is outstanding.

The integration of Frustrum’s technology is excellent. Topology optimisation is something many folks are interested in, but only a small percentage are actively using it. There’s a rich opportunity here for users to explore what it can do for them.

Collaborative efforts with partners such as Ansys and Luxion bring best-in-class technology not just to the Creo interface, but also its underlying data platform and users’ workflows. This makes enormous sense - even if it means your licence configuration is likely to swell.

There’s much to dig into within Creo 7 and more to come as the release cycle progresses. If you’re an existing user, it’s time to start planning your adoption of new features and functions. If you’re not, now might be a very good time to take a look.